1	BEFORE THE	
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION	DN
3		DOCKET NO.
4	Petition for approval of general service agreements and to engage in	00 0233
5	other transactions with affiliated interests pursuant to Sections 7-101)
6		,)
7	CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	DOCKET NO.
8	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY	00 -0395
9)
10) DOCKET NO.
11		00 -0461
12	to Rider TC.) CONSOLIDATED
13	Springfield July 26, 20	d, Illinois)00
14	Met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 P.	М.
15	BEFORE:	
16	MR. LARRY JONES, Examiner	
17	APPEARANCES:	
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER W. FLYNN	
19	Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 77 West Wacker	
20	Suite 3500 Chicago, Illinois 60601-1692	
	Cilicago, Illinois 00001-1092	
21 22	(Appearing on behalf of Central Public Service Company and	

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MS. SARAH READ
3	Sidley & Austin 10 South Dearborn Street Bank One Plaza
4	Suite 5400 Chicago, Illinois 60603
5	
6	(Appearing on behalf of Commonwealth Edison Company)
7	MR. JOSEPH L. LAKSHMANAN 500 South 27th Street
8	Decatur, Illinois 62521-2200
9	(Appearing on behalf of Illinois Power Company)
10	
11	MR. THOMAS J. AUGSPURGER 29 South La Salle Suite 900
12	Chicago, Illinois 60603
13	(Appearing on behalf of NewEnergy Midwest L.L.C. via teleconference)
14	MD DIVID I DEIN
15	MR. DAVID I. FEIN MR. CHRISTOPHER J. TOWNSEND Piper, Marbury, Rudnick & Wolfe
16	203 North La Salle Street Suite 1800
17	Chicago, Illinois 60601-1293
18	(Appearing on behalf of Enron Energy Services, Inc. via teleconference)
19	Services, Inc. via tereconference)
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MR. EDWARD FITZHENRY MR. ERIC ROBERTSON
3	Lueders, Robertson & Konzen 1939 Delmar Avenue
4	P.O. Box 735 Granite City, Illinois 62040
5	(Appearing on behalf of the Illinois
6	Industrial Energy Consumers via teleconference)
7	MR. ROBERT M. IVANAUSKAS
8	208 South La Salle Suite 1760
9	Chicago, Illinois 60604
10	(Appearing on behalf of the Citizens Utility Board via teleconference)
11	MR. MICHAEL MUNSON
12	233 South Wacker Drive Suite 8300
13	Chicago, Illinois 60606
14	(Appearing on behalf of Nicor Energy L.L.C.)
15	MR. RONALD D. JOLLY
16	30 North La Salle Street Room 1040
17	Chicago, Illinois 60602
18	(Appearing for the City of Chicago via teleconference)
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MR. STEVEN G. REVETHIS
3	MR. JOHN J. REICHART MR. JOHN C. FEELEY
4	160 North La Salle Street Suite C-800
5	Chicago, Illinois 60601
6	(Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission)
7	MR. EDWARD J. GRIFFIN MR. W. MICHAEL SEIDEL
8	Defrees & Fiske
9	200 South Michigan Avenue Suite 1100
10	Chicago, Illinois 60604
11	(Appearing on behalf of Central Illinois Light Company via teleconference)
12	MR. TIMOTHY P. WALSH
13	130 East Randolph 23rd Floor
14	Chicago, Illinois 60601
15	(Appearing on behalf of Peoples Energy Services Corporation)
16	MR. R. LAWRENCE WARREN
17	MR. MARK KAMINSKI Office of Illinois Attorney General 100 West Randolph
18	12th Floor
19	Chicago, Illinois 60601
20	(Appearing on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois)
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
2	MS. LEIJUANA DOSS 28 North Clark Street
3	Suite 400
4	Chicago, Illinois 60602
5	(Appearing on behalf of the People of Cook County via teleconference)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, #084-001662

1		IND	E X		
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	(None)				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	EXHIBITS		I	MARKED	ADMITTED
13	(None)				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1	DDOGEDINGG
1	PROCEEDINGS

- 2 EXAMINER JONES: On the record.
- 3 Good afternoon. This is a status hearing
- 4 in consolidated matter 00-0259, 00-0395, and
- 5 00-0461. 0259 is Commonwealth Edison Company; 0395
- 6 is CIPS and UE; 0461 is IP. These dockets all
- 7 pertain to so-called market value filings made by
- 8 those companies. These matters were consolidated by
- 9 the Commission, as indicated in a prior notice.
- 10 At this time we will take the appearances
- 11 orally for the record. Some parties will be
- 12 participating by telephone from the Commission's
- 13 Chicago offices or elsewhere. You do not need to
- 14 spell your name for the record unless we ask you to.
- 15 I think we have most of the spellings at this point.
- 16 First off may we have the appearances on
- 17 behalf of Commonwealth Edison Company.
- 18 MS. READ: Sarah Read, Sidley and Austin, Bank
- 19 One Plaza, Suite 5400, Chicago, Illinois 60603,
- 20 appearing on behalf of ComEd.
- 21 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.
- Okay. May we have the appearances on

- 1 behalf of the Ameren companies.
- 2 MR. FLYNN: Christopher W. Flynn, Jones, Day,
- 3 Reavis & Pogue, 77 West Wacker, Suite 3500, Chicago,
- 4 Illinois 60601, appearing on behalf of Central
- 5 Illinois Public Service Company and Union Electric
- 6 Company.
- 7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 Illinois Power.
- 9 MR. LAKSHMANAN: Yes. Joseph L. Lakshmanan, 500
- 10 South 27th Street, Decatur, Illinois 62521, appearing
- 11 on behalf of Illinois Power Company.
- 12 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you.
- We will now proceed with the appearances
- 14 from the other parties, and why don't we go ahead and
- 15 start with those who are physically present in
- 16 Springfield.
- MR. MUNSON: On behalf of Nicor Energy, L.L.C.,
- 18 Michael Munson, 8300 Sears Tower, 233 South Wacker
- 19 Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 20 MR. WALSH: On behalf of Peoples Energy Services
- 21 Corporation, Timothy P. Walsh, 130 East Randolph
- 22 Drive, 23rd Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

- 1 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Are there other
- 2 appearances to be entered on the Springfield end?
- 3 MR. WARREN: Yes. R. Lawrence Warren and Mark
- 4 Kaminski of the Attorney General's Office, 100 West
- 5 Randolph, 12th Floor, Chicago, 60601, on behalf of
- 6 the People of the State of Illinois.
- 7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 MR. REVETHIS: And Steven G. Revethis, on behalf
- 9 of the Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission in
- 10 Springfield, and in Chicago we have John C. Feeley
- 11 and John Reichart, also for the Staff of the Illinois
- 12 Commerce Commission, and our Chicago address is 160
- 13 North La Salle, Chicago, 60601.
- 14 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.
- 15 Are there any other appearances to be
- 16 entered from the Springfield end? All right. There
- 17 are not.
- 18 Are there some other appearances to be
- 19 entered by those who are in our Chicago office
- 20 today?
- 21 MS. DOSS: Leijuana Doss, Cook County State's
- 22 Attorney's Office, 69 West Washington, Suite 700,

- 1 Chicago, Illinois 60602, appearing on behalf of the
- 2 People of Cook County.
- 3 MR. JOLLY: On behalf of the City of Chicago,
- 4 Ronald D. Jolly, 30 North La Salle, Suite 900,
- 5 Chicago, Illinois 60602.
- 6 MR. AUGSPURGER: Appearing for NewEnergy Midwest
- 7 L.L.C., Thomas J. Augspurger, 29 South La Salle,
- 8 Suite 900, Chicago, Illinois 60603.
- 9 MR. FEIN: Appearing on behalf of Enron Energy
- 10 Services, Inc. in Docket Numbers 00-0395 and 0461,
- 11 David I. Fein and Christopher J. Townsend, by the law
- 12 firm of Piper, Marbury, Rudnick & Wolfe, 203 North
- 13 La Salle Street, Suite 1800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 14 MR. IVANAUSKAS: Robert Ivanauskas, appearing
- 15 for the Citizens Utility Board, at 208 South La Salle
- 16 Street, Suite 1760, Chicago, 60604.
- 17 MR. GRIFFIN: Edward J. Griffin and W. Michael
- 18 Seidel of Defrees & Fiske, Suite 1100, 200 South
- 19 Michigan Avenue, Chicago, 60604, appearing on behalf
- 20 of Central Illinois Light Company.
- 21 EXAMINER JONES: Thank you.
- 22 Are there any other appearances to be

- 1 entered from the Chicago office? There are not.
- I believe there's one other appearance to
- 3 be entered by phone. Mr. Fitzhenry.
- 4 MR. FITZHENRY: Yes. Thank you. Edward
- 5 Fitzhenry and Eric Robertson, of the law firm of
- 6 Lueders, Robertson & Konzen, Post Office Box 735,
- 7 Granite City, Illinois, 62040, appearing on behalf of
- 8 the Illinois Industrial Energy Consumers in the
- 9 Illinois Power Company Docket 00-0461.
- 10 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Thank you.
- 11 Are there any other appearances to be
- 12 entered this afternoon? Let the record show there
- 13 are not, at least at this time.
- I think as everyone is aware, there was a
- 15 workshop scheduled for this morning commencing at
- 16 9:00 A.M. and essentially running until 2:00 P.M.
- 17 Does anyone have sort of a status report on that or
- 18 any scheduling that flows from that?
- 19 MR. REVETHIS: Yes. I'll be happy to address
- 20 that, Mr. Examiner, if I could.
- 21 First of all, the workshop commenced at
- 22 9:30 this morning here in Springfield, and the

1 parties went through the initial agenda that we had

- 2 set forth, that being the comparison of the IP,
- 3 Ameren, and ComEd proposals and questions and issues
- 4 of the parties generally, and we also touched upon
- 5 procedure and structure of the consolidated cases,
- 6 and we also discussed scheduling of future workshops,
- 7 and I'm happy to say that certainly everyone was
- 8 cooperative, and we're moving along quite well, and
- 9 we have scheduled, in fact, another workshop for
- 10 August 10 at 9:30 a.m. in Springfield and with a
- 11 discussion of a possible workshop after that. We've
- 12 discussed a tentative date for that, and we will firm
- 13 that up along the way.
- 14 And what we plan to do is do our best to
- 15 create a structure of issues before the next
- 16 workshop. We will consolidate everyone's thoughts,
- 17 and our desire and our goal is to have an issues list
- 18 for our workshop which, as I stated, will be on
- 19 August 10th, and we will have that distributed to the
- 20 parties universally, at least a day before the
- 21 commencement of that workshop.
- 22 EXAMINER JONES: All right. Thank you.

```
1 Is it contemplated there would be a
```

- 2 telephone hookup in Chicago at that time as well?
- 3 MR. REVETHIS: Yes, that's correct.
- 4 EXAMINER JONES: All right.
- 5 Just one brief comment. That sounds like a
- 6 good plan. I do note there is not a status hearing
- 7 mentioned along with that. Given that the parties
- 8 have conducted the workshop and have come up with the
- 9 agreed-to workshop date, assuming that's the case, I
- 10 am not inclined to force the parties to plan for a
- 11 status hearing on that date as well. However, if it
- 12 appears that it would be useful to add a status on
- 13 that date to the schedule, I would keep open the
- 14 option of doing that, but we will not add that to the
- 15 schedule for that day at this time, unless that is
- 16 part of the parties' proposal.
- 17 Mr. Revethis, is it your understanding that
- 18 that workshop date is essentially an agreed -to date
- 19 at this point?
- MR. REVETHIS: Yes. The August 10th 9:30 A.M.
- 21 date with the Springfield location was agreed to by
- 22 the parties.

- 1 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Just so the record is
- 2 clear, do any of the parties have any objections to
- 3 the holding of a workshop on that date at that time?
- 4 All right. Let the record show there are no
- 5 objections, and that workshop is part of the schedule
- 6 in this case.
- 7 Does that essentially conclude sort of the
- 8 status report on the workshop and the status of the
- 9 schedule and any proposals that pertain to that?
- 10 MR. REVETHIS: I believe so at this time. I
- 11 believe I'm speaking for everyone, but certainly if
- 12 anybody has anything to add, they certainly can come
- 13 forward at this time.
- 14 EXAMINER JONES: All right. Thank you.
- Well, as the parties are aware, today was
- 16 primarily set in the schedule for workshop purposes,
- 17 and that has occurred. It sounds like the parties
- 18 have made some progress and also come up with another
- 19 workshop date, so that's an encouraging development.
- 20 I don't know if there's really anything else that
- 21 needs to be done as far as today's status hearing is
- 22 concerned.

As noted, if there seems to be a need to

_	
2	schedule further status hearings, that would be an
3	option that would be kept open but will not be made
4	part of the formal schedule at this time.
5	Do the parties have anything else before we
6	conclude today's status hearing? Okay. If not then,
7	thanks again to the parties for their participation
8	today.
9	At this time let the record show today's
10	status hearing is concluded. There's a workshop
11	scheduled for the 10th of August at 9:30, and absent
12	any additional status hearings in the schedule, the
13	next actual hearing date would be the hearing date
14	that was included in the scheduling ruling that went
15	out or actually the hearing date that would have
16	been approved by that scheduling ruling, and that
17	would be October 2 at 10:00 A.M. Thank you.
18	(Whereupon the case was
19	continued to October 2, 2000,
20	at 10:00 A.M. in Springfield
21	Illinois.)

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS))SS
2	COUNTY OF SANGAMON)
3	CASE NO.: 00-0259, 00-0395 & 00-0461 CONSOLIDATED
4	TITLE: COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY
5	CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
6	ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY
7	IBBINOIS TOWER COMPANY
8	
9	
10	
11	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
12	I, Cheryl A. Davis, do hereby certify that I am a court reporter contracted by Sullivan Reporting Company of Chicago, Illinois; that I reported in
13	shorthand the evidence taken and proceedings had on the hearing on the above-entitled case on the 26th
14	day of July, 2000; that the foregoing 15 pages are a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
15	taken as aforesaid and contain all of the proceedings directed by the Commission or other persons
16	authorized by it to conduct the said hearing to be so stenographically reported.
17	Dated at Springfield, Illinois, on this 4th day of August, A.D., 2000.
18	or August, A.D., 2000.
19	
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter
21	License No. 084-001662
22	